Article

The Legal Implications of Globalization and Intellectual Property on Technology Transfer and Innovation

Jamshid Kazimi

University Institute of Legal Studies, Chandigarh University, Punjab-140413, India
* Correspondence: jamshidkazimi@gmail.com

Abstract: In this study, the complex connection of globalization, intellectual property rights (IPR), technology transfer (TT) and innovation is explored in the context of a post-structuralist world economy. Globalization has transformed the research and innovation landscape significantly by promoting the movement of knowledge, resources, and technological innovation across borders. Nevertheless, the role of IPR on the technology transfer is still a controversial issue. This research reviews globalization, IP laws and various form of technology transfer, examining the variables, obstacles and possibilities of IPRs in making technology transfer agreements. Challenges of TT to the developing countries as a result of globalization in relation to both, positive and negative law; the economy and society have also been discussed. This study is useful for policy makers, practitioners and scholars to deal with the challenges and opportunities brought about in the interconnected world in terms of technology transfer and innovation.

Keywords: globalization; intellectual property rights; technology transfer; innovation; legal framework

Citation: Jamshid Kazimi. 2025. The Legal Implications of Globalization and Intellectual Property on Technology Transfer and Innovation. *Trends in Intellectual Property Research* 3(1), 38-44. https://doi.org/10.69971/tipr.3.1.2025.84

1. Introduction

Globalization tends to functionalize the world into a unitary society and is marked by sharing of perspectives, products, ideas and cultural aspects. It has affected the economy by increasing the international trade and the unrestricted movement of investment among nations (Wahida at al. 2023). It restructures the manner in which production, distribution, and consumption of goods and services is handled. It allows political and economic relations among countries and lopsided dependencies (Uzomah and Paul 2020). Globalization is an ongoing process that has been enhanced by changes in technology and supported by various mechanisms including free trade, free movement of money and mobility of people (Verde 2017).

IPRs are legal rights accorded to inventors or creators, to protect their inventions or creations for a given period of time. The inventors have the sole right to exploit their inventions in the specified period (Saha and Sanjib 2011). IPRs, and technology transfer (TT) have become a significant force in economic growth and competitiveness in the globalized world.

Universities are a major source of innovation as they serve as technology partners and channels of translating knowledge and technologies into businesses (Stupnytskyy 2023). Organizations need to engage in research and development (R&D) activities to be innovative and generate what consumers require. The inter-country interaction in the form of trade and foreign direct investment (FDI) can facilitate local innovations, especially the machinery and equipment. TT and innovation play a crucial role in ensuring that organizations and countries fit in with globalization challenges and opportunities for economic growth and competitiveness.

This study explores the overlapping forces of globalization and IPRs in the context of TT and innovation. While analyzing the IPRs in the scope of internationalization, this research elucidates the issues, prospects, and the possible plans toward promoting TT and fostering novelty in a fast-developing globalized market.

2. Methods

The study utilizes a qualitative approach of doctrinal legal research to find out the nexus between globalization, IPRs, TT and innovation. The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of IP Rights (TRIPS Agreement), the WTO treaties, the national legislation, the international policy statements and secondary including scholarly articles, books and institutional reports of organizations like WIPO, WTO and UNCTAD have been consulted. This multi-disciplinary analytical research implies both the legal and economic theories. Comparative advantage economic theory and

technology introduction theory analyses globalization and its effects on TT while theory of innovation describes effects of IPRs on technological development. The focus then shifts towards the exercise, implementation and critique of IPRs within the developing world particularly. Being a doctrinal study, it lacks empirical collection of data. Nonetheless, legal reasoning is supported by referring to empirical evidence in the existing literature.

3. Results

3.1 Historical Context of Globalization and IPRs

Globalization is a multi-dimensional and complex phenomenon that has been developing since centuries. It influences economic, political, social, cultural, and legal landscape (Yang and Ziwei 2022). Globalization may be traced back to the ancient periods when merchants traveled a long way to exchange their specialties (Zajda and Val 2021). The Islamic Golden Age is a historical example of how globalization was achieved as Muslim traders and explorers. The Silk Road intensified during the Mughal Empire intensified the globalization in Asia (Tkach and Hanna 2022). First wave of globalization began between 1870-1914 when business globalization originated in the 17th century with the creation of the Dutch East India Company, being one of the first multinational corporation. The Dutch East India Company which controlled Indonesia was the first to share risks and jointly hold ownership through the issue of shares. This radical scheme proved a major motivational factor to global expansion. The East India Company led to the conquest of India and the creation of wide-scale trade relations. Globalization spread with the growth in the European trade, particularly during the 16th and 17th centuries, when the Portuguese and the Spanish Empires spread to every corner of the world after expanding into the Americas (Myneni 2014). The initial phase of globalization crumbled with the onset of the World War I in 1914. Between 1914 and 1945, governments, reacting to the consequences of the Great Depression, resorted to the protectionism in a vain effort to shift demand their home markets. Protectionism spread around the world, increasing the global trading followed by a major decline (Myneni 2014).

The second wave of globalization (1945-1980) arose due to concerted efforts of economists, commercial interests and politicians who realized the weakness of protectionism and declining globalization of the economy. Their efforts led the Bretton Woods Conference and the creation of various global institutions to oversee the resurgence of globalization, encouraging economic growth, and eliminating negative effects. This gave birth to organs such as the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) (Tkach and Hanna 2022). Advances in technologies served as the leadership in this wave of globalization by lowering trade expenses and creating successive rounds of trade agreements, chiefly the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). Consecutive agreements were reached due to these rounds of negotiation for free trade (Smil 2007).

Third wave of globalization began in 1980 and Uruguay Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations (1986-1993) was a major breakthrough in this wave (Ahlquist and Erik 2012). Dunkel Draft introduced on December 20, 1991, by the GATT Director-General, Mr Arthur Dunkel (WTO 2024), proposed trade liberalization including trade-related investment measures (TRIMs), and Trade-related Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs). This was followed by the Marrakesh Agreement and the formation of WTO between 1994 and 1995 (Myneni 2014). The WTO was formally established on January 1, 1995, effectively forming the system to govern international trade (Tkach and Hanna 2022). Globalization has brought integration of knowledge across the globe and changed the way processes, approaches and information are tackled (Madalina 2015).

3.2 Transfer of Technology in Globalized Environments

Technology transfer (TT) is a collaborative effort that enables both the dissemination of scientific discoveries, expertise and IP between creators, academic, research centers and industrial partners or commercializing stakeholders. It transforms inventions and scientific development into new products and services that have social use. TT is closely interconnected with the exchange of knowledge (WIPO 2024). Figure 1 presents the fundamental stages of the TT process.

Figure 1. explains the TT process (WIPO 2024)

Universities and research institutions need to operate in a well-developed innovation ecosystem to make the TT successful. It is an ecosystem of an integrated network of government, industry, and research institutions along with favorable factors including the appropriate skill of individuals, the well-developed TT mechanism, the business cycle and market maturity. The stakeholders share their resources and expertise to influence innovation, increasing the regional and economic development (Audretsch et al. 2012). TT in a globalized environment entail various barriers and issues, such as rapid technological changes, ongoing shortening of the life cycle of products, and rising costs of technology (Audretsch et al. 2012). The anti-globalization policies may limit the discussion of complex technological knowledge, restrict the flow of IP around the world, interfere with the free movement of scientists and engineers, and stifle the regulatory consistency (Buckley and Niron 2020). Sustainable TT processes and opportunities should be opted (Corsi et al. 2021). The communication problems due to diversity of shared meanings and context, team member distribution, and cultural differences hindering the process of TT in an international software development project should also be addressed (Hanisch and Brian 2007).

Meeting these demands requires collaborative actions among the government, industry, academia, and international agencies developing clear and effective IP systems, developing an infrastructural and human capital base, encouraging cross-cultural awareness and cooperation, and promoting market entry and regulatory harmonization.

3.3 Role of IPR in Technology Transfer and Innovation

IP protection helps to create and popularize the technological advancement. It is an essential process of promoting innovation by giving incentives and setting the conditions of TT. IP creation is mostly an expensive process to develop but rather inexpensive to imitate and apply to different industries. In third world economies, enhancing IPRs can facilitate technology transactions in the market. Nevertheless, this may possibly hinder the accessibility of advanced technology to local companies because it prolongs the safeguarding of foreign inquisitors (Al-Busaidi et al. 2024).

TRIPS Agreement has been widely discussed in context of the costs and benefits that might be accrued in case IPRs are enhanced particularly as far as growth and TT in developing countries is concerned. The increased IP protection may produce the positively affect domestic innovations and faster application of technology within the developing nations that possess sufficient innovation potential, yet it has a low influence on the innovation and diffusion in the areas that lack innovation potential and even raises the costs. Developing countries can exploit their TRIPS status to maximize their net effects on their development agenda (Falvey and Neil 2006).

Government policies and regulations, may have a tremendous effect on innovation. Strict and directive policies can spur major technological development in innovation of products and processes. On the other hand, there are policies and regulations that may present challenges and limitations to innovation. Government intervention can stimulate or retard innovation based on the nature of the policies and regulations implemented (Patanakul and Jeffery 2014). Developing countries should foster their absorptive capacity through the introduction of particular policies that would support infrastructure development and human resources (Mofam et al. 2023). The TT implies that IPRs are not detrimental to competence building. However, more active policies to obtain the knowledge that is developed in the superior countries should be applied (Hafeez et al. 2023). The TRIPS Agreement suggests IPRs as a key feature of international innovation policy. Although the advantages of robust IPRs are emphasized in the West and corporations ten courage investment in innovation (Devi, Ashutosh Kumar and K.R. Maurya 2022), robust IPRs enforcement would affect the developing countries to experience slower economic growth and welfare (Athreye et al. 2020).

IPRs themselves do not ensure profitability as a result of innovations. It must involve a holistic approach, such as lifelong learning and active innovation. Also, cross-industry variations exist in the importance of IPRs with the patent playing an important role in pharmaceuticals and copyright in the audiovisual industry. The strong or the weak IPR regimes do not have a substantial impact on many other sectors (Archibugi and Andrea 2010a).

4. Discussion

4.1 Interplay between Globalization and IPR

Since it has become feasible to move and offshore various business services, piracy has become a global issue. IPRs enhance research and development investment, protect inventions and their international expansion. (Li et al. 2024). Globalization, promotes economic growth by international trade, and technological progress, Nonetheless, there are frictions because strong IPRs can reduce the access to necessary products, which increases inequalities. The situation in which the less developed countries are economically dependent on the developed countries through the monopolization of IPR can occur (Lin et al. 2024). Rigorous IPR laws can help prevent potential foreign investors who fear the restriction of the use of technology (Lu and Yan-Shu 2023). The contradiction in the perception of the world order can lead to the tech-nationalism and cyber politics. The tensions can be addressed by strategic alliances and intellectual property agreement, which assist firms in increasing markets and exchanging knowledge (Yang 2023a). It is important to balance short term and long-term objectives and harmonize cooperative and competitive interests. The 21st century IPR has challenges and opportunities in respect of emerging technologies (Abbott 1998). The ethical issues call to strike a balance between the rights of innovators and fair access to knowledge and technology (Chhabra and Dinesh 2023). IPR protection is essential in the globalization of the economy, and copyright protection is gaining more significance due to the increase in competition (Jelokhani-Niaraki et al. 2021).

4.2 Legal and Policy Implications of Globalization and IPR

Due to globalization, the transfer of technology and innovation has been greatly affected where a web of legal and policy implications arises especially in regards to IPR. Strong IPRs can be considered a force behind globalization of innovation, in influencing technology usage, sourcing, collaboration research and development with partners internationally (Bian et al. 2025). Nevertheless, the reinforced regulations of IPRs around the world are controversial issues. Proponents believe that effective IPRs promote R&D investments and the growth of innovation between nations. Critics, in turn, opine that this can impose an extra burden on developing countries, dragging them back in development (Filippetti and Daniele 2015).

TRIPS Agreement, a major component of the WTO is a great attempt to unify the protection of IPRs internationally (Archibugi and Andrea 2010b). TRIPS establishes a minimum standard of IP regulation, affecting the manner in which nations safeguard and implement IP (Yang 2023a). However, the TRIPS has raised concerns in developing countries due to its impact on the availability of vital medicines and technologies (Lanoszka 2003). The trade war between the US and China has shown the necessity of new regulations and mechanisms on technology transfer in the framework of the WTO, since the current TRIPS agreement has failed to promote and facilitate technology transfer (Tundang 2020).

Protection of IP is a strategic factor that contributes to technological breakthrough, particularly in the emerging economies. High level of IPRs would encourage firms to invest in research and development of products and secure their inventions, which would give the firm competitive advantage in the market. This allows the companies to recover their investment in R&D by exclusive rights which further promotes innovation.

Policymakers should design balanced IP protection for access to essential technologies and products especially in developing nations and accessibility of the same (Lanoszka 2003). Transfer of technology to developing nations can be stimulated by different mechanisms, including licensing deals, joint-ventures and research and development partnerships (Beiter 2021). These systems may help the developing nations to learn and apply their own technologies in their local demands and situations. Governments must put in place effective tools for preventing IPR abuse, to avoid their use as a tool for reducing competition and discouraging innovations (Tian 2009). Policymakers should create innovation ecosystems that contribute to R&D, entrepreneurship, and technology transfer (Kordshouli et al. 2024). This will involve funding research, encouraging higher education institutions to work with corporations, and creating technology transfer offices to help the commercialization of research findings (Sachini et al. 2024). Although international treaties such as TRIPS are meant to harmonize the IP standards, some flexibility is required to keep up with the various needs and levels of development of various nations (Maskus 1998). Developing countries need special facilities or exception to enact IPR regulations in a manner that favors their economic and healthcare agenda (Carliene 1998).

4.3 Theoretical Reflections

The theoretical framework of the intersection between the Globalization and IPR, which explicitly covers their implications on TT and innovation both in economic and legal terms will be discussed now. This endeavor will increase our knowledge on the complex nature of the dynamics that is defining the world of technological progress and IP regulation.

4.3.1 Economic Theories Underlying Globalization and IPRs

4.3.1.1 Comparative Advantage Theory

This is the basic economy theory initiated by David Ricardo, according to which nations should focus on manufacturing products and services having comparative advantage at a reduced opportunity cost compared to other nations (Murdock 2020). The theory of comparative advantage was founded on the premise that although one country may be more efficient in producing all goods, both nations will gain by promoting trade so long as the countries specialize in producing those goods that they could produce most efficiently (Karimova and Lutfillo 2022). The theory dispels the assumption that the nation with a decreased production cost has a comparative advantage over the other nation (Meoqui 2021). The theory of Ricardo is misconceived, and it is commonly believed that some textbooks misinterpret the views and claim that all factors of production were labor (Poinsot 2021). This law of comparative advantage was highly influential on the theory of international trade established by Ricardo and is still applied (Siddiqui 2018).

IPRs are essential in safeguarding the innovations and creations so that a given country can focus on its own strengths. Countries can get a better comparative advantage to engage in the global economy by promoting innovations and a good environment where IP can develop (Udeagha and Edwin 2023). The extent of IP protection and IP reform regime is not substantial in the renewable energy adoption. Rather, openness to trade has a significant effect on clean, energy transition (Fabrizio and Ulya 2014). Government subsidies and the intensity of IP protection can considerably advance the innovative potential of renewable energy businesses, and R&D investment can be one of the critical factors. IP protection is specifically important to geothermal and solar energy companies because it allows them to take advantage of government subsidies and innovation in an efficient way (Elmquist et al. 2019). Consequently, more superior policies and legislations should be established to for strong IP protection to stimulate innovations in renewable energy businesses (Lambooy 2005).

4.3.1.2 Technology Diffusion Theory

Large-scale and fast technological adoption between nations upsurge the productivity and economic expansion (Hidayat and Amirul 2022). Technology diffusion is central to economic integration, and exchange of information and technology between nations in the era of globalization and IPRs (Benhabib et al. 2021). IPRs encourage innovations and inventions by offering authors the legal structure needed to defend their inventions and ideas (Dearing 2021). Strong IP regimes may promote TT by establishing a licensing process and FDI, which will enhance the economic growth and collaboration on an international level (Buera and Ezra 2020).

4.3.1.3 Innovation Theory

Innovation theory emphasizes the creativity and invention as being vital to economic progress. It implies that investments in R&D play a major role in encouraging innovation and technological development (Aliu and But 2022). Nevertheless, returns to innovations, in particular, R&D investment has been decreasing (Nikitin 2022). People wonder whether a novice type of R&D problem-solving will arise or not (Zmija 2022). Innovation is very crucial in economic growth and influencing different economic factors within the economy such as technological development, employment, and nature of work.

4.3.2 Legal theories regarding the protection and enforcement of IPRs

When it comes to theories of law concerning the protection and protection of IPRs, various important points must be noted:

TRIPS Agreement, entails the safeguards, and enforcement of IPRs. The protection and enforcement of IPRs are the main provisions of the "TRIPS Agreement," which stipulates the minimum standards of protection of IP (Christopoulou et al. 2021).

These standards deal with many types of IPRs such as copyright and neighboring rights, trademarks, geographical indications, designs and industrial models, patents, configuration schemes of integrated circuits, and undisclosed information protection (Anechitoae 2012). Anti-competitive conduct, and the responsibility of the customs in the disposition of seized articles in violation of IPRs are also covered by the Agreement (Chen et al. 2013). TRIPS minimizes policy externalities offering sufficient IP protection to advocate innovation and creativity (Saggi and Joel 2011).

National laws and regulations on IPRs are both beneficial and detrimental to innovation. According to some studies, IP protection has a positive effect on innovation, TT, and the economic welfare of high-income and upper-middle-income countries (Shin et al. 2022). But in countries with lower middle incomes, and low incomes, IPRs may not have amplified innovation, motivated TT, or brought economic welfare (Aghion et al. 2021). According to some studies, small and medium-sized businesses regulations and financial market regulation may adversely impact the efficiency of innovation in some sectors (Quoc 2021). The effect of national laws and regulations on innovation is intricate and is subject to a variety of determinants, such as income level of a particular country and the specific regulations.

There are several challenges concerning the effectiveness and justice of current IP legal regimes. The cost of enforcement, may lead to the inability to protect investments hindering collaboration between IP owners and the licensee (Bastidas 2022). This is difficult to collaborate with firms of various nationalities, structures, and industries, and it is also difficult to implement and supervise the IPRs as its an expensive process (Narula 2022). Also, generic templates of the IPR policy used to enforce the policy itself and based on advanced economies are likely to slow down development and growth (Pila 2022). Internet revolution and digital world have also made the enforcement of IPR even more difficult, and it is estimated that much of the international traffic in the Internet is violating the IPRs (Weatherall 2015).

These legal and economic theories point out the complex nature of the association between IPR and globalization. Incentives towards innovation, diffusion of technologies and high regimes of IPR are a contribution to the dynamic and interrelated global economy.

5. Conclusions

This research has examined the intricate interaction between globalization, IPRs, technology transfer and innovation and their complementary and contradictory nature to each other in the new global economy. The globalization has accelerated the speed with which ideas, technology, and knowledge are transferred, creating new prospects of cooperation and growth. It has created legal and policy challenges, especially when it comes to equal access to technology and concentration of technology-driven advantage to the developed economies. Although strong IP regimes can generate innovations and technology spillovers, they should be founded on inclusiveness and magnitude of the absorptive capacity differences among the nations. Developing countries should have IP protection mechanisms and open the doors to priority technologies to enhance sustainable development. TRIPS Agreement and national IP systems should reflect and benefit the local socio-economic situations. Governments should implement international cooperation, friendly research and development policies and capacity building policies to allow the easy transfer of technology. IP Laws should be flexible to avoid constrain the possibilities of technological dependency. Finally, the interface involving technology transfer, globalization and IPRs must be harmonized under the IP governance among international organizations, domestic governments and local competitors. To have technological progress shared more widely and in a more equitable manner, a balanced, inclusive, and dynamic IPR governance strategy can be offered. More studies should be done on empirical policy effectiveness models in implementing policies, particularly in the developing economies, to understand how the legal and the economic system may be integrated to enable innovation-led growth.

References

Aghion, Philippe, Antonin Bergeaud, and John Van Reenen. 2021. The Impact of Regulation on Innovation. *National Bureau of Economic Research*. https://doi.org/10.3386/w28381

Ahlquist, John S., and Erik Wibbels. 2012. Riding the Wave: World Trade and Factor-Based Models of Democratization. *American Journal of Political Science* 56: 447–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2011.00572.x

Al-Busaidi, Adil, Raghu Raman and Laurie Hughes, et al. 2024. Redefining Boundaries in Innovation and Knowledge Domains: Investigating the Impact of Generative Artificial Intelligence on Copyright and Intellectual Property Rights. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge* 9: 1-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100630

Aliu Mulaj, Luljeta, and But Dedaj. 2022. Knowledge-Based Society: R&D Investments in New Economic Transformation. Accounting, Finance, Sustainability, Governance & Fraud: Theory and Application. *New Approaches to CSR, Sustainability and Accountability* 4:49-57. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9499-8_4

Anechitoae, Constantin. 2012. Intellectual Property Rights Though the Lens of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, Concluded in Marrakesh. *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice* 4: 870.

Archibugi, Daniele, and Andrea Filippetti. 2010a. The Globalisation of Intellectual Property Rights: Four Learned Lessons and Four Theses. *Global Policy* 1: 137–49. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-5899.2010.00019.x

Archibugi, Daniele, and Andrea Filippetti. 2010b. The Globalisation of Intellectual Property Rights: Four Learned Lessons and Four Theses. *Global Policy* 1: 137–49. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-5899.2010.00019.x

Athreye, Suma, Lucia Piscitello, and Kenneth C. Shadlen. 2020. Twenty-Five Years since TRIPS: Patent Policy and International Business. *Journal of International Business Policy* 3: 315–328. https://doi.org/10.1057/s42214-020-00079-1

Audretsch, David B., Erik E. Lehmann, and Mike Wright. 2012. Technology Transfer in a Global Economy. *The Journal of Technology Transfer* 39 : 301–312. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-012-9283-6

Bastidas Venegas, Vladimir. 2022. Intellectual Property Rights, Enforcement Costs and EU Competition Law. *Journal of Antitrust Enforcement* 11: i37–i56. https://doi.org/10.1093/jaenfo/jnac027

Beiter, Klaus D. 2021. Reductionist Intellectual Property Protection and Expansionist (and 'Prodevelopment') Competition Rules as a Human Rights Imperative? Enhancing Technology Transfer to the Global South. *Law and Development Review* 14: 215–272. https://doi.org/10.1515/ldr-2020-0079

Benhabib, Jess, Jesse Perla, and Christopher Tonetti. 2021. Reconciling Models of Diffusion and Innovation: A Theory of the Productivity Distribution and Technology Frontier. *Econometrica* 89: 2261–2301. https://doi.org/10.3982/ecta15020

Bian, Bo, Jean-Marie Meier, and Ting Xu. 2025. Cross-Border Property Rights and the Globalization of Innovation. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 60: 2159-2193. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109024000735

Buckley, Peter J., and Niron Hashai. 2020. Skepticism toward Globalization, Technological Knowledge Flows, and the Emergence of a New Global System. *Global Strategy Journal* 10: 94–122. https://doi.org/10.1002/gsj.1372

Buera, Francisco J., and Ezra Oberfield. 2020. The Global Diffusion of Ideas. *Econometrica* 88: 83–114. https://doi.org/10.3982/ecta14044

Carliene Brenner. 1998. Intellectual Property Rights and Technology Transfer in Developing Country Agriculture: Rhetoric and Reality. *OECD Development Centre* 133. https://doi.org/10.1787/178646264130

Chen, Jing, Xiaoyan Nie, Peng Yao, and Luwen Shi. 2013. TRIPS-plus and Access to Medicines in China. *Journal of Public Health Policy* 34: 226–238. https://doi.org/10.1057/jphp.2013.13

Chhabra, Anusha, and Dinesh Kumar Vishwakarma. 2023. Multimodal Hate Speech Detection via Multi-Scale Visual Kernels and Knowledge Distillation Architecture. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.106991

Christopoulou, Danai, Nikolaos Papageorgiadis, Chengang Wang, and Georgios Magkonis. 2021. IPR Law Protection and Enforcement and the Effect on Horizontal Productivity Spillovers from Inward FDI to Domestic Firms: A Meta-Analysis. *Management International Review* 61: 235–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-021-00443-0

Corsi, Alana, Fabiane Florencio de Souza, Regina Negri Pagani, and João Luiz Kovaleski. 2021. Technology Transfer Oriented to Sustainable Development: Proposal of a Theoretical Model Based on Barriers and Opportunities. *Scientometrics* 126: 5081–5112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-021-03969-0

Dearing, James W. 2021. Diffusion of Innovations. *Oxford Academic*: 611-638. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198845973.013.23

Devi, Bineeta, Ashutosh Kumar, and K.R. Maurya. 2022. Intellectual Property Rights, Regulatory Laws, WTO, and Its Impact. *Industrial Applications of Soil Microbes* 1: 236-263. https://doi.org/10.2174/9789815039955122010019

Elmquist, Maria, Annabelle Gawer, and Pascal Le Masson. 2019. Innovation Theory and the (Re-)Foundation of Management: Facing the Unknown. *European Management Review* 16: 379–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12308

Fabrizio, Kira R., and Ulya Tsolmon. 2014. An Empirical Examination of the Procyclicality of R&D Investment and Innovation. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 96: 662–675. https://doi.org/10.1162/rest_a_00412

Falvey, Rod and Neil Foster. 2006. The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Technology Transfer and Economic Growth: Theory and Evidence. *UNIDO*. https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/2009-04/Role_of_intellectual_property_rights_in_technology_transfer_and_economic_growth_0.pdf

Filippetti, Andrea, and Daniele Archibugi. 2015. The Globalization of Intellectual Property Rights. *The Handbook of Global Science, Technology, and Innovation*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118739044.ch20

Hafeez, Aamer, Alina Binti Shamsuddin, and Bilal Saeed. 2023. An Empirical Investigation of Absorptive Capacity on Technology Transfer Effectiveness Through Organizational Innovation. *International Journal of Professional Business Review* 8: 1-39. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i2.1550

Hanisch, Jo, and Brian Corbitt. 2007. Impediments to Requirements Engineering during Global Software Development. *European Journal of Information Systems* 16: 793–805. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ejis.3000723

Hidayat, Marzul, and Amirul Mukminin. 2022. The Diffusion of Innovations Model: Applications to Education Policymaking and Critique. *Edukasi: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran* 9: 100–107. https://doi.org/10.19109/ejpp.v9i2.15745

Jelokhani-Niaraki, Mohammadreza, Najmeh Neysani Samany, Moslem Mohammadi, and Ara Toomanian. 2021. A Hybrid Ridesharing Algorithm Based on GIS and Ant Colony Optimization through Geosocial Networks. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing* 12: 2387–2407. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02364-6

Karimova, Gulnoza, and Lutfillo Makhamadaliev. 2022. The Importance of Innovative Ideas in Increasing the Effectiveness of Education. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities* 12: 143–48. https://doi.org/10.5958/2249-7315.2022.00352.5

Kordshouli, H. Ranaei, Sh Yousefi, M. Alimohammadlou, and K. Askarifar. 2024. Detecting, Visualizing, and Analyzing Trends and Patterns in University-Based Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Literature. *Management Review Quarterly* 75: 2643-2674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-024-00444-x

Lambooy, Jan. 2005. Innovation and Knowledge: Theory and Regional Policy. *European Planning Studies* 13: 1137–1152. https://doi.org/10.1080/09654310500336444

Lanoszka, Anna. 2003. The Global Politics of Intellectual Property Rights and Pharmaceutical Drug Policies in Developing Countries. *International Political Science Review* 24: 181–197. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512103024002002

Li, Jiawen, Ronghui Li, Shiwen Ni, and Hung-Yu Kao. 2024. EPRD: Exploiting Prior Knowledge for Evidence-Providing Automatic Rumor Detection. *Neurocomputing* 563: 126935. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2023.126935

Lin, Hongzhan, Jing Ma, Ruichao Yang, Zhiwei Yang, and Mingfei Cheng. 2024. Towards Low-Resource Rumor Detection: Unified Contrastive Transfer with Propagation Structure. *Neurocomputing* 578. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2024.127438

Lu, Te-Cheng, Jin-Li Hu, and Yan-Shu Lin. 2023. Coordination of Trade and Intellectual Property Rights Policies. *The Singapore Economic Review* 68: 1771–1785. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217590819500747

Madalina, Calance. 2015. Globalization and the Conspiracy Theory. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 23: 677–681. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00474-8

Maskus, Keith E. 1998. The International Regulation of Intellectual Property. *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* 134: 186–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02708092

Meoqui, Jorge Morales. 2021. Overcoming Absolute and Comparative Advantage: A Reappraisal of The Relative Cheapness of Foreign Commodities as the Basis of International Trade. *Journal of the History of Economic Thought* 43: 433–449. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1053837220000401

Mofam, Raymond Ebu, Akeem Tunde Nafiu, Peter Asuquo, and Anthony Igwe. 2023. The Impact of International Technology Transfer on Technology Gap in the Context of Developing Countries. *Innovare Journal of Education* 11: 30–36. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijoe.2023v11i4.48093

Murdock, Charles W. 2020. Why Ricardo's Theory of Comparative Advantage Regarding Foreign Trade Doesn't Work in Today's Global Economy. University of Bologna Law Review 5. https://bolognalawreview.unibo.it/article/view/10881

Myneni, S.R. 2014. International Trade Law. 3rd ed. *Allahabad Law Agency*. https://allahabadlawagency.com/product/international-trade-law-dr-s-r-myneni/

Narula, Rajneesh. 2022. IPRs, Cross-Border (Collaborative) Innovation and Development Challenges: A Commentary. *Oxford Academic*. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198870067.003.0019

Nikitin, D. V. 2022. The Theoretical and Methodological Origins of Innovative Theories. *Business Inform* 5: 4–10. https://doi.org/10.32983/2222-4459-2022-5-4-10

Patanakul, Peerasit, and Jeffrey K. Pinto. 2014. Examining the Roles of Government Policy on Innovation. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research* 25: 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hitech.2014.07.003

Pila, Justine. 2022. Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights. *Seville's EU Intellectual Property Law and Policy, Elgar Online*: 644-669. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788976404.00016

Poinsot, Flavia. 2021. Ricardo and Haberler: An Essay on the Evolution of the Economic Thought Based on the Four Magic Numbers in the International Trade Theory. *Estudios Económicos* 38: 171–85. https://doi.org/10.52292/j.estudecon.2021.2657

Quoc, Nguyen Phan. 2021. Impacts of IPRs Basic Provisions in CPTPP on Technology Transfer and Innovation - Suggestion in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of International Law* 18: 457–480. https://doi.org/10.17304/ijil.vol18.4.819

Sachini, Evi, Konstantinos Sioumalas-Christodoulou, and Charalampos Chrysomallidis, et al. 2024. Mapping the Technology Transfer Offices in Greece: Initial Outcomes Concerning Medical and Health Technologies and Next Steps. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy* 15: 16060–16095. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01715-w

Saggi, Kamal, and Joel P. Trachtman. 2011. Incomplete Harmonization Contracts in International Economic Law: Report of the Panel, China – Measures Affecting the Protection and Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights, WT/DS362/R, Adopted 20 March 2009. *World Trade Review* 10: 63–86. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1474745610000455

Saha, Chandra Nath, and Sanjib Bhattacharya. 2011. Intellectual Property Rights: An Overview and Implications in Pharmaceutical Industry. *Journal of Advanced Pharmaceutical Technology & Research* 2: 88-93. https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-4040.82952

Shin, Jaeho, Yeongjun Kim, and Changhee Kim. 2022. A Study on the Relationship Between Government Regulations and Innovation Efficiency in Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Industry. *International Journal of Software Innovation* 10: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijsi.301218

Siddiqui, Kalim. 2018. David Ricardo's Comparative Advantage and Developing Countries: Myth and Reality. *International Critical Thought* 8: 426–452. https://doi.org/10.1080/21598282.2018.1506264

Smil, Vaclav. 2007. The Two Prime Movers of Globalization: History and Impact of Diesel Engines and Gas Turbines. *Journal of Global History* 2: 373–394. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1740022807002331

Stupnytskyy, Oleksiy. 2023. Technology Transfer as the Third Academic Mission of the Entrepreneurial University. *Baltija Publishing*. https://doi.org/10.30525/978-9934-26-307-1-20

Tian, Yijun. 2009. Intellectual Property (IP) Protection versus IP Abuses: The Recent Development of Chinese IP Abuse Rules and Recommendations for Foreign Technology-Driven Companies. *Computer Law & Security Review* 25: 352–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2009.05.005

Tkach, Dmytro, and Hanna Bilokur. 2022. Impact of Globalization on the Development of International Socio-Economic Processes. *Scientific Notes of the University* 68: 28–35. https://doi.org/10.31732/2663-2209-2022-68-28-35

Tundang, Ronald Eberhard. 2020. US-China Trade War an Impetus for New Norms on Technology Transfer. *Journal of World Trade* 54: 943-960. https://doi.org/10.54648/TRAD2020040

Udeagha, Maxwell Chukwudi, and Edwin Muchapondwa. 2023. Achieving Green Environment in Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa Economies: Do Composite Risk Index, Green Innovation, and Environmental Policy Stringency Matter? *Sustainable Development* 31: 3468–3489. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2597

Uzomah, Michael, and Paul Olorunsola Folorunso. 2020. Globalization: An Inexorable Phenomenal Force. *International Journal of Humanities and Innovation (IJHI)* 3: 92–100. https://doi.org/10.33750/ijhi.v3i2.84

Verde, Antimo. 2017. Globalization: Definition and Phases. *Is Globalisation Doomed?* :3-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58583-3_1.

Wahida, Khoirunisa, Hoirul Uyun, and Didit Kurniawan Wintoko. 2023. Efek Globalisasi Yang Dihadapi Masyarakat Kontemporer Terhadap Perekonomian. *Harmoni: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi Dan Sosial* 1: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.59581/harmoni-widyakarya.v1i1.288

Weatherall, Kimberlee. 2015. Provocations and Challenges Concerning Enforcement and Civil Procedure in IP. *Intellectual Property and General Legal Principles* Elgar *online*: 181-205. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781784714956.00014

WIPO. 2024. Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer. https://www.wipo.int/technology-transfer/en/index.html

WTO. 2024. Arthur Dunkel Long Time Director-General Dies at 72. *World Trade Organization*. https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/pres05_e/pr409_e.htm

Yang, Lijuan. 2023a. Standards-Based Hierarchical Governance of a Digital Trade Network. *Transnational Corporations Review* 15: 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tncr.2023.08.004

Yang, Peng, and Ziwei Chen. 2022. On the Historicity of the Development and Evolution of the Concept of Globalization. *Journal of Asia Social Science* 8: 61–74. https://doi.org/10.51600/jass.2022.8.1.61

Zajda, Joseph, and Val Rust. 2021. Globalization as a Multidimensional Construct. *Globalisation, Comparative Education and Policy Research* 24: 19-35. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-2054-8_2

Zmija, Dariusz. 2022. Innovation Theory and the Digital Economy. *Routledge*: 1-15. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003254638-10